

# **1<sup>st</sup> International Conference for Women in Multifaceted Research 2021**

Science, Technology, Education & Entrepreneurship for Society

8<sup>th</sup> – 9<sup>th</sup> March 2021. Kolkata, India.

## **REVIEWER RESPONSIBILITIES & EXPECTATIONS**

The peer review system of the International Conference on Women in Multifaceted Research (ICWMR) is structured upon a basic principle of respect. It is dependent on qualified academics reviewing article submissions from their peers. Academics participate as peer reviews out of respect for those who may review (or will review) their own article submissions. The need for reviewers within certain areas of study will vary and it is likely that fewer than three articles will be assigned. Assignments will not always be from the same author. The complete details of reviewer responsibilities are listed in the Publication Ethics and Malpractice Statement, below.

### **Publication Ethics and Publication Malpractice Statement**

ICWMR takes intellectual integrity very seriously. The publisher, editors, reviewers, and authors all agree upon the following standards of expected ethical behavior, which are based on the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) Core Practices.

### **Duties of Reviewers**

#### **Contribution to publishing decisions**

Recommendations by peer reviewers are the single most important determining factor in whether a manuscript is accepted for publication. They may also help the author improve a manuscript that has been accepted pending revisions.

#### **Promptness**

Peer reviewers are asked to complete their reviews within ONE week of receiving a peer review assignment. If they cannot complete the report within ONE week, they may ask for an extension. If a peer reviewer feels unqualified to review a particular manuscript, he or she may notify a member the Publishing team of ICWMR 2021 or please email [icwmr2021@gmail.com](mailto:icwmr2021@gmail.com) to be excused from the assignment.

## **Confidentiality**

Any manuscript received for review will be treated as confidential. It must not be shown to or discussed with others except as authorised by a Publishing Chair of ICWMR 2021.

## **Standards of Objectivity**

Reviews should be conducted objectively. Personal criticism of the author is inappropriate. Reviewers should express their views clearly with supporting arguments.

## **Acknowledgment of Sources and Identification of Possible Plagiarism**

Reviewers should identify relevant published work that has not been cited by the authors. Reports of statements, observations, or arguments that have been noted elsewhere should be accompanied by a relevant citation. A reviewer should also call the editor's attention to any substantial similarity or overlap between the manuscript under consideration and any other published manuscript of which they have personal knowledge.

## **Disclosure and Conflict of Interest**

If a peer reviewer feels unqualified to review a particular manuscript, he or she must notify a member of the Publishing team of ICWMR 2021 or please email [icwmr2021@gmail.com](mailto:icwmr2021@gmail.com) to be excused from the assignment. Privileged information or ideas obtained through peer review must be kept confidential and not be used for personal benefit. Reviewers should not consider manuscripts in which they have conflicts of interest resulting from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships or connections with any of the authors, companies, or institutions connected to the manuscripts under review.